This edited volume celebrates the 15th anniversary of the European State Aid Law Quarterly. For this occasion, we offer an overview of the most cited State aid judgments and discuss their importance. When available, the original annotation (published in the European State Aid Law Quarterly) is provided as well as a current reflection. The re-annotations and articles focus on the meaning of the judgments, the evolution of the relevant topics and current practice.

Covering a period of more than two decades, this book offers an extensive overview of the most important State aid case law for practitioners, policy and academia. Particularly, it highlights the fascinating evolution in State aid practice during the period from 1995 until 2017. It is accessible for State aid aficionados from diverse disciplines and industries.
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